arXiv:1610.01872v1 [math.DS] 6 Oct 2016

MATCHING FOR GENERALISED β-TRANSFORMATIONS

HENK BRUIN, CARLO CARMINATI, CHARLENE KALLE

Abstract. We investigate matching for the family $T_\alpha(x) = \beta x + \alpha \pmod 1$, $\alpha \in [0,1]$, for fixed $\beta > 1$. Matching refers to the property that there is an $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $T_\alpha^n(0) = T_\alpha^n(1)$. We show that for various Pisot numbers β, matching occurs on an open dense set of $\alpha \in [0,1]$ and we compute the Hausdorff dimension of its complement. Numerical evidence shows more cases where matching is prevalent.

1. Introduction

When studying interval maps from the point of view of ergodic theory, the first task is to find a suitable invariant measure μ, preferably one that is absolutely continuous with respect to the Lebesgue measure. If the map is piecewise linear it is easy to obtain such a measure if the map admits a Markov partition (see [FB81]). If no Markov partition exists, the question becomes more delicate. A relatively simple expression for the invariant measure, however, can still be found if the system satisfies the so called *matching condition*. Matching occurs when the orbits of the left and right limits of the discontinuity (critical) points of the map meet after a number of iterations and if at that time also their (one-sided) derivatives are equal. Often results that hold for Markov maps are also valid in case the system has matching. For instance, matching causes the invariant density to be piecewise smooth or constant. For the family $T_\alpha : \mathbb{S}^1 \to \mathbb{S}^1$,

$$T_\alpha : x \mapsto \beta x + \alpha \pmod 1, \qquad \alpha \in [0,1),\ \beta \text{ fixed}, \tag{1}$$

formula (1.4) in [FL96] states that the T_α-invariant density is

$$h(x) := \frac{d\mu(x)}{dx} = \sum_{T_\alpha^n(0^-)<x} \beta^{-n} - \sum_{T_\alpha^n(0^+)<x} \beta^{-n}.$$

Matching then immediately implies that h is piecewise constant, but this result holds in much greater generality, see [BCMP]. A further example can be found in [KS12], where a certain construction involving generalised β-transformations is proved to give a multiple tiling in case the generalised β-transformation has a Markov partition or matching.

Especially in the case of α-continued fraction maps, the concept of matching has proven to be very useful. In [NN08, KSS12, CT12, CT13] matching was used to study the entropy as a function of α. In [DKS09] the authors used matching to give a description of the invariant density for the α-Rosen continued fractions. The article [BSORG13] investigated the entropy and invariant measure for a certain two-parameter family of piecewise linear interval maps and conjectured about a relation between these and matching. In several parametrised families where matching was studied, it turned out that matching occurs prevalently, i.e., for (in some sense) typical parameters. For example, in [KSS12] it is shown that the set of α's for which the α-continued fraction map has matching, has full Lebesgue measure. This fact is proved in [CT12] as well, where it is also shown that the complement of this set has Hausdorff dimension 1.

For piecewise linear transformations, prevalent matching appears to be rare: for instance it can occur in the family (1) only if the slope β is an algebraic integer, see (4). It is likely that β must satisfy even stronger requirements for matching to hold: so far matching has only been observed for values of the slope β that are Pisot numbers (i.e., algebraic numbers $\beta > 1$ that have all Galois conjugates strictly inside the unit disk) or Salem numbers (i.e., algebraic numbers $\beta > 1$ that have all Galois conjugates in the closed unit disk with at

Date: Version of October 7, 2016.
2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 37E10, 11R06, 37E05, 37E45, 37A45.
Key words and phrases. β-transformation, matching, interval map.

least one on the boundary). For instance if β is the Salem number satisfying $\beta^4 - \beta^3 - \beta^2 - \beta + 1 = 0$ and if $\alpha \in \left(\frac{1}{\beta^4+1}, \frac{\beta}{\beta^4+1}\right)$, then the points 0 and 1 have the following orbits under T_α:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \to & \alpha & \to & (\beta+1)\alpha & \to & (\beta^2+\beta+1)\alpha, \\ 1 & \to & \beta+\alpha-1 & \to & (\beta+1)\alpha + \frac{1}{\beta} - \frac{1}{\beta^2} & \to & (\beta^2+\beta+1)\alpha - \frac{1}{\beta}. \end{array} \tag{2}$$

Lemma 3.1 below now implies that there is matching after four steps. For this choice of the slope numerical experiments suggests matching is prevalent, but the underlying structure is not yet clear to us.

The object of study of this paper is the two-parameter family of circle maps from (1). These are called *generalised* or *shifted β-transformations* and they are well studied in the literature. It follows immediately from the results of Li and Yorke ([LY78]) that these maps have a unique absolutely continuous invariant measure, that is ergodic. Hofbauer ([Hof81]) proved that this measure is the unique measure of maximal entropy with entropy $\log \beta$. Topological entropy is studied in [FP08]. In [FL96, FL97] Flatto and Lagarias studied the lap-counting function of this map. The relation with normal numbers is investigated in [FP09, Sch97, Sch11]. More recently, Li, Sahlsten and Samuel studied the cases in which the corresponding β-shift is of finite type ([LSS16]).

In this paper we are interested in the size of the set of α's for which, given a $\beta > 1$, the map $T_\alpha : x \mapsto \beta x + \alpha \pmod 1$ has matching. We call this set A_β, i.e.,

$$A_\beta = \{\alpha \in [0,1] : T_\alpha \text{ does not have matching}\}. \tag{3}$$

The first main result pertains to quadratic irrationals:

Theorem 1.1. *Let β be a quadratic algebraic number. Then T_α exhibits matching if and only if β is Pisot. In this case $\beta^2 - k\beta \pm d = 0$ for some $d \in \mathbb{N}$ and $k > d \pm 1$, and $dim_H(A_\beta) = \frac{\log d}{\log \beta}$.*

This result is proved in Section 4. The methods for $+d$ and $-d$ are quite similar with the second family being a bit more difficult than the first. Section 4.2 includes the values of β satifying $\beta^2 - k\beta - 1$, which are sometimes called the metallic means.

In Section 5 we make some observations about the multinacci Pisot numbers (defined as the leading root of $\beta^k - \beta^{k-1} - \cdots - \beta - 1 = 0$). The second main result of this paper states that in the case $k = 3$ (the tribonacci number) the non-matching set has Hausdorff dimension strictly between 0 and 1. Results on the Hausdorff dimension or Lebesgue measure for $k \geq 4$ however remain illusive.

2. Numerical evidence

We have numerical evidence of prevalence of matching in the family (1) for more values of β then we have currently proofs for. Values of the slope β for which matching seems to be prevalent include many Pisot numbers such as the multinacci numbers and the plastic constant (root of $x^3 = x + 1$). Let us mention that matching also occurs for some Salem numbers, including the famous Lehmer's constant (i.e., the root of the polynomial equation $x^{10} + x^9 - x^7 - x^6 - x^5 - x^4 - x^3 + x + 1 = 0$, conjecturally the smallest Salem number), even if it is still unclear whether matching is prevalent here. The same holds for the Salem number used in (2).

One can also use the numerical evidence to make a guess about the box dimension of the bifurcation set A_β given in (3). Indeed, if $A \subset [0,1]$ is a full measure open set, then the box dimension of $[0,1] \setminus A$ equals the abscissa of convergence s^* of the series

$$\Phi(s) := \sum_{J \in \mathcal{A}} |J|^s$$

where $\mathcal{A}$ is the family of connected components of A. Let us fix a value $b > 1$ and set $a_k := \#\{J \in \mathcal{A} : -k - 1 \leq \log_b |J| < -k\}$ then $\Phi(s) \asymp \sum_k a_k b^{-sk}$ and hence $s^* = \limsup_{k\to\infty} \frac{1}{k} \log_b a_k$. This means that we can deduce information about the box dimension from a statistic of the sizes of matching intervals. The parameter b in the above construction can be chosen freely; popular choices are $b = 2$, $b = e$ and $b = 10$. However, sometimes a clever choice of b can be the key to make the growth rate of the sequence $\log_b a_k$ apparent from its very first elements. Indeed, in the case of generalised β-transformations like in (1) a



FIGURE 1. This plot refers to the tribonacci value of β and represents $-\log_\beta |J|$ (on the y-axis) against the matching index k (on the x-axis): a linear dependence is quite apparent.

natural choice of the base is $b = \beta$, since it seems that if on an interval J matching occurs in k steps then for the size $|J|$ we have $|J| \leq c\beta^{-k}$ (see figure).

What actually happens in these constant slope cases is that there are many matching intervals of the very same size, and these "modal" sizes form a sequence $s_n \sim \beta^{-n}$. This phenomenon is most evident when β is a quadratic irrational; for instance in the case $\beta = 2 + \sqrt{2}$ all matching intervals seem to follow a very regular pattern, namely there is a decreasing sequence s_n (the "sizes") such that:

(i) $-\frac{1}{n} \log_\beta s_n \to 1$ as $n \to \infty$ (note that here the log is in base $\beta = 2 + \sqrt{2}$);
(ii) if J is a matching interval then $|J| = s_n$ for some n;
(iii) calling a_n the cardinality of the matching intervals of size s_n one gets the sequence1, 2, 6, 12, 30, 54, 126, 240, 504, 990, 2046, 4020, 8190, ... which turns out to be known as A038199 on OEIS (see [OEI]). Moreover $\frac{1}{n} \log(a_n) \to 2$ as $n \to \infty$.

Thus one is led to conclude that the box dimension in this case is

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} -\frac{1}{n} \log_\beta(a_n) = \log(2)/\log(2+\sqrt{2}) = 0.5644763825...$$

This case is actually covered by Theorem 1.1, which shows with a different argument that for $\beta = 2 + \sqrt{2}$ one indeed gets that $dim_H(A_\beta) = \frac{\log 2}{\log(2+\sqrt{2})}$.

Remark 2.1. For $\beta = \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}$ and $s_n \approx \beta^{-n}$, we find that $a_n = \phi(n)$ is Euler's totient function. In Section 4 we relate this case to degree one circle maps g_α with plateaus, and matching with rotation numbers $\rho = \frac{m}{n} \in \mathbb{Q}$. This occurs for a parameter interval of length $\approx \beta^{-n}$, and the number of integers $m < n$ such that $\frac{m}{n}$ is in lowest terms is Euler's totient function. Naturally, the numerics indicate that $\dim_B(A_\beta) = 0$.

In summary, the following table gives values for the box-dimension of the bifurcation set A_β that we obtained numerically. For the tribonacci number we prove in Section 5 that the Hausdorff dimension of A_β is strictly between 0 and 1.

β	minimal polynomial	$\dim_B(A_\beta)$
tribonacci	$\beta^3 - \beta^2 - \beta - 1 = 0$	0.66...
tetrabonacci	$\beta^4 - \beta^3 - \beta^2 - \beta - 1 = 0$	0.76...
plastic	$\beta^3 - \beta - 1 = 0$	0.93...

3. THE $\beta x + \alpha \pmod 1$ TRANSFORMATION

For $\beta > 1$ and $\alpha \in [0, 1]$, the $\beta x + \alpha \pmod 1$-transformation is the map on $\mathbb{S}^1 = \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ given by $x \mapsto \beta x + \alpha \pmod 1$. In what follows we will always assume that β is given and we consider the family of maps



FIGURE 2. This plot shows the frequencies of each size: each vertical bar is placed on values $-\log_\beta s_n$, the height of each bar represents the frequency a_n and is displayed on a logarithmic scale. On the top of each bar we have recorded the value of a_n: these values match perfectly with the sequence A038199 up to the 14th element (after this threshold the numerical data are likely to be incomplete). The clear decaying behaviour which becomes apparent after $n = 15$ might also relate to the fact that, since the box dimension of the bifurcation set is smaller than one, the probability that a point taken randomly in parameter space falls in some matching interval of size of order β^{-n} decays exponentially as $n \to \infty$.

$\{T_\alpha : \mathbb{S}^1 \to \mathbb{S}^1\}_{\alpha\in[0,1]}$ defined by $T_\alpha(x) = \beta x + \alpha \pmod 1$. The *critical orbits* of the map T_α are the orbits of $0^+ = \lim_{x\downarrow 0} x$ and $0^- = \lim_{x\uparrow 1} x$, i.e., the sets $\{T_\alpha^n(0^+)\}_{n\geq 0}$ and $\{T_\alpha^n(0^-)\}_{n\geq 0}$. For each combination of β and α, there is a largest integer $k \geq 0$, such that $\frac{k-\alpha}{\beta} < 1$. This means that for each $n \geq 1$ there are integers $a_i, b_i \in \{0, 1, \ldots, k+1\}$ such that

$$\begin{array}{rcl} T_\alpha^n(0^+) & = & (\beta^{n-1} + \cdots + 1)\alpha - a_1\beta^{n-2} - \cdots - a_{n-2}\beta - a_{n-1}, \\ T_\alpha^n(0^-) & = & (\beta^{n-1} + \cdots + 1)\alpha + \beta^n - b_1\beta^{n-1} - \cdots - b_{n-1}\beta - b_n. \end{array} \tag{4}$$

The map T_α has a *Markov partition* if there exists a finite number of disjoint intervals $I_j \subseteq [0, 1]$, $1 \leq j \leq n$, such that

- $\overline{\bigcup_{j=1}^n I_j} = [0, 1]$ and
- for each $1 \leq j, k \leq n$ either $I_j \subseteq T_\alpha(I_k)$ or $I_j \cap T_\alpha(I_k) = \emptyset$.

This happens if and only if the orbits of 0^+ and 0^- are finite. In particular there need to be integers n, m such that $T_\alpha^n(0^+) = T_\alpha^m(0^+)$, which by the above implies that $\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}(\beta)$. If β is an algebraic integer, then this is a countable set. It happens more frequently that T_α has matching. We say that the map T_α has *matching* if there is an $m \geq 1$ such that $T_\alpha^m(0^+) = T_\alpha^m(0^-)$. This implies the existence of an m such that

$$\beta^m - b_1\beta^{m-1} - (b_2 - a_1)\beta^{m-2} - \cdots - (b_{m-1} - a_{m-2})\beta - (b_m - a_{m-1}) = 0,$$

which means that β is an algebraic integer.



FIGURE 3. Here we show the frequencies of sizes of matching intervals in the cases when the slope β is the tribonacci or the tetrabonacci number: the y-coordinate represents (on a logarithmic scale) the number a_k of intervals of size of order 2^{-k} while the x-coordinate represents k. It is quite evident that in both cases the graph shows that a_k grows exponentially in the beginning, and the decaying behaviour that can be seen after $k \geq 20$ is due to the fact that in this range our list of interval of size of order 2^{-k} is not complete any more.

Remark 3.1. When defining matching for piecewise linear maps, one usually also asks for the derivative of T_α^m to be equal in the points 0^+ and 0^-. Since the maps T_α have constant slope, this condition is automatically satisfied in our case by stipulating that the number of iterates at matching is the same for 0^+ and 0^-.

Figure 4 illustrates that having a Markov partition does not exclude having matching and vice versa.



(a) Markov, no matching, $\beta^4-\beta^3-\beta^2-\beta+1=0$, $\alpha=0$

(b) Markov and matching, $\beta^2-\beta-1=0$, $\alpha=\frac{1}{\beta^3}$

(c) Not Markov, matching, $\beta^2-2\beta-1=0$, $\alpha=\pi-3$

FIGURE 4. The $\beta x+\alpha \pmod 1$-transformation for various values of α and β. In (a) the points 0^+ and 0^- are mapped to different periodic orbits by T_α. In (b) we have $T_\alpha^2(0^-) = T_\alpha^2(0^+)$ and both points are part of the same 2-periodic cycle. In (c) we have taken $\alpha=\pi-3$ and β equals the tribonacci number and we see that $T_\alpha^2(0^+) = T_\alpha^2(0^-)$.

Write

$$\Delta(0)=\Big[0,\frac{1-\alpha}{\beta}\Big),\ \Delta(k)=\Big[\frac{k-\alpha}{\beta},1\Big],\ \Delta(i)=\Big[\frac{i-\alpha}{\beta},\frac{i+1-\alpha}{\beta}\Big),\ 1\leq i\leq k-1.$$

and let m denote the one-dimensional Lebesgue measure. Then $m\big(\Delta(i)\big)=\frac{1}{\beta}$ for all $1\leq i\leq k-1$ and $m\big(\Delta(0)\big),\ m\big(\Delta(k)\big)\leq\frac{1}{\beta}$ with the property that

$$m\big(\Delta(0)\big)+m\big(\Delta(k)\big)=1-\frac{k-1}{\beta}.$$

We define the cylinder sets for T_α as follows. For each $n \geq 1$ and $e_1 \cdots e_n \in \{0, 1, \ldots, k\}^n$, write

$$\Delta_\alpha(e_1 \cdots e_n) = \Delta(e_1 \cdots e_n) = \Delta(e_1) \cap T_\alpha^{-1}\Delta(e_2) \cap \cdots \cap T_\alpha^{-(n-1)}\Delta(e_n),$$

whenever this is non-empty. We have the following result.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $\beta > 1$ and $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ be given. Then $|T_\alpha^n(0^+) - T_\alpha^n(0^-)| = \frac{j}{\beta}$ for some $j \in \mathbb{N}$ if and only if matching occurs at iterate $n + 1$.*

Proof. For every $y \in [0, 1)$, the preimage set $T_\alpha^{-1}(y)$ consists of points in which every pair is j/β apart for some $j \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence it is necessary and sufficient that $|T_\alpha^n(0^+) - T_\alpha^n(0^-)| = j/\beta$ for matching to occur at iterate $n + 1$. □

Remark 3.2. In what follows, to determine the value of A_β we consider functions $w : [0, 1] \to [0, 1], \alpha \mapsto w(\alpha)$ on the parameter space of α's. The map T_α and its iterates are piecewise affine, also as function of α. Using the chain rule repeatedly, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{d\alpha}T_\alpha^n\big(w(\alpha)\big) &= \frac{\partial}{\partial\alpha}T_\alpha\big(T_\alpha^{n-1}(w(\alpha))\big) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x}T_\alpha\big(T_\alpha^{n-1}w(\alpha))\big)\frac{d}{d\alpha}T_\alpha^{n-1}\big(w(\alpha)\big) \\
&= 1 + \beta\frac{d}{d\alpha}T_\alpha^{n-1}\big(w(\alpha)\big) \\
&\vdots \\
&= 1 + \beta + \beta^2 + \cdots + \beta^{n-1} + \beta^n\frac{d}{d\alpha}w(\alpha) = \frac{\beta^n - 1}{\beta - 1} + \beta^n\frac{d}{d\alpha}w(\alpha).
\end{aligned}$$

In particular, if $w(\alpha)$ is n-periodic, then $\frac{\beta^n-1}{\beta-1} + \beta^n\frac{d}{d\alpha}w(\alpha) = \frac{d}{d\alpha}w(\alpha)$, so $\frac{d}{d\alpha}w(\alpha) = -\frac{1}{\beta-1}$, independently of the period n. Similarly, if $T_\alpha^n\big(w(\alpha)\big)$ is independent of α, then $\frac{d}{d\alpha}w(\alpha) = -\frac{1}{\beta-1}(1 - \frac{1}{\beta^n})$, whereas if $T_\alpha^n\big(w(\alpha)\big) \in \partial\Delta(1)$, then $\frac{d}{d\alpha}w(\alpha) = -\frac{1}{\beta-1}(1 + \frac{1}{\beta^{n+1}})$. Finally, if $w(\alpha) \equiv 0^+$ is constant, then $\frac{d}{d\alpha}T_\alpha^n(0^+) = \frac{\beta^n-1}{\beta-1}$.

4. Quadratic Pisot Numbers

Solving $T^j(0^-) - T^j(0^-) = 0$ using equation (4), we observe that matching can only occur if β is an algebraic integer. In this section we look at quadratic Pisot integers; these are the leading roots of the equations

$$\beta^2 - k\beta \pm d = 0, \qquad k, d \in \mathbb{N},\ k > d \pm 1. \tag{5}$$

The condition $k > d \pm 1$ ensures that the algebraic conjugate of β lies in $(-1, 0)$ and $(0, 1)$ respectively. If this inequality fails, then no matching occurs:

Proposition 4.1. *If $\beta > 1$ is an irrational quadratic integer, but not Pisot, then there is no matching.*

Proof. Let $\beta^2 \pm k\beta \pm d = 0$, $k \geq 0$, $d \geq 1$, be the characteristic equation of β. Since β is a quadratic irrational, we have $T_\alpha^j(0^-) - T_\alpha^j(0^+) = n\beta + m$ for some integers n, m (which depend on j and α). If there were matching for T_α then, by Lemma 3.1, there exists $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $|\ell| < \beta$ such that $n\beta + m = \ell/\beta$ which amounts to $n\beta^2 + m\beta - \ell = 0$. However, since $\beta \notin \mathbb{Q}$, this last equation must be an integer multiple of the characteristic equation of β, therefore $|\ell| = |n|d$ and thus $d < \beta$.

Note that the linear term of the characteristic equation cannot be $+k\beta$ ($k \geq 0$). Indeed if this were the case then the characteristic equation would lead to $\beta + k = \pm\frac{d}{\beta}$, which contradicts the fact that $\beta > 1$.

Now if the characteristic equation is $\beta^2 - k\beta - d = 0$, then $\beta - k = \frac{d}{\beta} \in (0, 1)$. Hence

$$\beta = \frac{k}{2} + \sqrt{\Big(\frac{k}{2}\Big)^2 + d} < k + 1$$

which reduces to $k > d - 1$ (i.e. β is Pisot).

If on the other hand the characteristic equation is $\beta^2 - k\beta + d = 0$, then $\beta - k = -\frac{d}{\beta} \in (-1, 0)$. Hence

$$\beta = \frac{k}{2} + \sqrt{\Big(\frac{k}{2}\Big)^2 - d} > k - 1$$

which reduces to $k > d+1$ (i.e., β is Pisot).

This proves that matching for some α forces the slope β to be Pisot. □

4.1. **Case $+d$.** In the first part of this section, let $\beta = \beta(k,d) = \frac{k}{2} + \sqrt{(\frac{k}{2})^2 - d}$ denote the leading root of $\beta^2 - k\beta + d = 0$ for positive integers $k > d+1$.

Theorem 4.1. *The bifurcation set A_β has Hausdorff dimension* $\dim_H(A_\beta) = \frac{\log d}{\log \beta}$.

Proof. Clearly $k-1 < \beta < k$, so T_α has either k or $k+1$ branches depending on whether $\alpha < k-\beta$ or $\alpha \geq k-\beta$. More precisely,

$$T_\alpha(0^-) - T_\alpha(0^+) = \begin{cases} \beta - (k-1) & \text{if } \alpha \in [0, k-\beta) \quad (k \text{ branches}); \\ \beta - k = -\frac{d}{\beta} & \text{if } \alpha \in [k-\beta, 1) \quad (k+1 \text{ branches}). \end{cases}$$

In the latter case, we have matching in the next iterate due to Lemma 3.1.

Let $\gamma := \beta - (k-1)$ and note that $\frac{k-1-d}{\beta} < \gamma < \frac{k-d}{\beta}$, and $\beta\gamma - (k-1-d) = \gamma$, $\gamma - 1 = -\frac{d}{\beta}$. It follows that if $x \in \Delta(i) \cap [0, 1-\gamma)$, then

$$T_\alpha(x+\gamma) = \begin{cases} T_\alpha(x) + \beta\gamma - (k-d) = T_\alpha(x) - \frac{d}{\beta} & \text{if } x+\gamma \in \Delta(i+k-d); \\ T_\alpha(x) + \beta\gamma - (k-1-d) = T_\alpha(x) + \gamma & \text{if } x+\gamma \in \Delta(i+k-1-d). \end{cases}$$

In the first case we have matching in the next step, and in the second case, the difference γ remains unchanged. The transition graph for the differences $T^j(0^-) - T^j(0^+)$ is shown in Figure 5



FIGURE 5. The transition graph for the root of $\beta^2 - k\beta + d = 0$. The number d stands for the d possible $i \in \{0, \ldots, d-1\}$ such that $T_\alpha^j(0^-) \in \Delta(i)$ and $T_\alpha^j(0^+) = T_\alpha^j(0^-) + \gamma \in \Delta(i+k-1-d)$. (In fact, $i = d$ is also possible, but $\Delta(0)$ and $\Delta(d)$ together form a single branch in the circle map g_α below.)

Define for $i = 0, \ldots, d-1$ the "forbidden regions"

$$V_i := \{x \in \Delta(i) : x + \gamma \in \Delta(i+k-d)\} = \Big[\frac{i+k-d-\alpha}{\beta} - \gamma \ , \ \frac{i+1-\alpha}{\beta}\Big).$$

Note that $T_\alpha(\frac{i+k-d-\alpha}{\beta} - \gamma) = k - \beta$ and $T_\alpha(k-\beta) = \alpha$. Define $g_\alpha : [0, k-\beta] \to [0, k-\beta]$ as

$$g_\alpha(x) := \min\{T_\alpha(x), k-\beta\} = \begin{cases} k-\beta & \text{if } x \in V := \bigcup_{i=0}^{d-1} V_i; \\ T_\alpha(x) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

After identifying $0 \sim k-\beta$ we obtain a circle S of length $m(S) = k-\beta$, and $g_\alpha : S \to S$ becomes a non-decreasing degree d circle endomorphism with d plateaus $V_0, \ldots, V_{d-1}$, and slope β elsewhere. Hence, if T_α has no matching, then

$$X_\alpha = \{x \in S : g_\alpha^n(x) \notin \bigcup_{i=0}^{d-1} V_i \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

is a T_α-invariant set, and all invariant probability measures on it have the same Lyapunov exponent $\int \log T'_\alpha d\mu = \log \beta$. Using the dimension formula $\dim_H(\mu) = h(\mu)/\int \log T'_\alpha d\mu$ (see [Led81, Proposition 4] and [You82]), and maximizing the entropy over all such measures, we find $\dim_H(X_\alpha) = \frac{\log d}{\log \beta}$.

In fact, X_α can be covered by $a_n = O(d^n)$ intervals length $\leq \beta^{-n}$. If T_α does not have matching, then for each $n \geq 1$ there is a maximal interval $J = J(\alpha)$, such that $T_\alpha(0^+) \in J$ and $\frac{d}{dx} g_\alpha^n(x) = \beta^n$ on J. Hence $m(J) \leq \beta^{-n}$ and moreover, for each point $w \in \partial J$ there is an $m \leq n$ and a point $z \in \partial V$, such that $T_\alpha^m(w) = z$.



(a) T_α (b) g_α

FIGURE 6. The maps T_α and g_α for β satisfying $\beta^2 - 5\beta + 3$ and $\alpha = 0.3$.

Note that the points w and $z \in \partial J$ depend on α, in the following manner. Given a non-matching parameter, let U be its neighbouhood on which the function $w : \alpha \mapsto w(\alpha)$ is continuous and such that there is an $m \in \mathbb{N}$ with $T_\alpha^m\big(w(\alpha)\big) =: z(\alpha) \in \partial V$ for all $\alpha \in U$. The definition of $V = V(\alpha)$ gives that $\frac{d}{d\alpha}\partial V(\alpha) = -\frac{1}{\beta}$. Using Remark 3.2 we find

$$\frac{d}{d\alpha}z(\alpha) = \frac{d}{d\alpha}T_\alpha^m\big(w(\alpha)\big) = \frac{\beta^m - 1}{\beta - 1} + \beta^m \frac{d}{d\alpha}w(\alpha) = -\frac{1}{\beta}.$$

This implies that $\frac{d}{d\alpha}w(\alpha) = -\frac{1}{\beta-1}(1 + \frac{\beta-2}{\beta^{m+1}}) < 0$ for all $m \geq 0$ and $\beta > 1$. Hence, $J(\alpha)$ is an interval of length $\leq \beta^{-n}$ and $\partial J(\alpha)$ moves to the left as α increases. At the same time, $T_\alpha(0^+) = \alpha$ moves to the right with speed 1 as α increases. Therefore U is an interval with $\frac{1}{C}m(J) \leq m(U) \leq Cm(J)$, where $C > 0$ depends on β but not on α or U.

This proves that the upper box dimension $\overline{\dim}_B(A_\beta) = \frac{\log d}{\log \beta}$, and in particular $\dim_H(A_\beta) = 0$ for $d = 1$.

For the lower bound estimate of $\dim_H(A_\beta)$ and $d \geq 2$, we introduce symbolic dynamics, assigning the labels $i = 0, \ldots, d-2$ to the intervals

$$Z_i := [\ (i + k - d - \alpha)/\beta\ ,\ (i + 1 + k - d - \alpha)/\beta)\ ),$$

that is V_i together the component of $S \setminus V$ directly to the right of it, and the label $d-1$ to the remaining interval: $Z_{d-1} = S \setminus \cup_{i=0}^{d-2} Z_i$. Therefore we have $g_\alpha(Z_i) = g_\alpha(Z_i \setminus V_i) = S$. Let $\Sigma = \{0, \ldots, d-1\}^{\mathbb{N}_0}$ with metric $d_\beta(x, y) = \beta^{1-m}$ for $m = \inf\{i \geq 0 : x_i \neq y_i\}$. Then each n-cylinder has diameter β^{-n}, the Hausdorff dimension $\dim_H(\Sigma) = \frac{\log d}{\log \beta}$, and the usual coding map $\pi_\alpha : S \to \Sigma$, when restricted to X_α, is injective.

Lemma 4.1. *Given an n-cylinder $[e_0, \ldots, e_{n-1}] \subset \Sigma$, there is a set $C_{e_0 \ldots e_{n-1}} \subset S$ consisting of at most n half-open intervals of combined length $\beta^{-n}m(S)$ such that $\pi_\alpha(C_{e_0 \ldots e_{n-1}}) = [e_0, \ldots, e_{n-1}]$ and $g_\alpha^n : C_{e_0 \ldots e_{n-1}} \to S$ is onto with slope β^n.*

Proof. The proof is by induction. For $e_0 \in \{0, \ldots, d-1\}$, let $C_{e_0} := Z_{e_0} \setminus V_{e_0}$ be the domain of $S \setminus V$ with label e_0. This interval has length $m(S)/\beta$, is half-open and clearly $g_\alpha : C_{e_0} \to S$ is onto with slope β.

Assume now by induction that for the n-cylinder $[e_0, \ldots, e_{n-1}]$, the set $C_{e_0 \ldots e_{n-1}}$ is constructed, with $\leq n$ half-open components $C^j_{e_0 \ldots e_{n-1}}$ so that $\sum_j m(C^j_{e_0 \ldots e_{n-1}}) = m(S)\beta^{-n}$. In particular, $g_\alpha^n(C^j_{e_0 \ldots e_{n-1}})$ are pairwise disjoint, since any overlap would result in $\sum_j m(C^j_{e_0 \ldots e_{n-1}}) < m(S)\beta^{-n}$.

Let $e_n \in \{0, \ldots, d-1\}$ be arbitrary and let $C^j_{e_0 \ldots e_{n-1}e_n}$ be the subset of $C^j_{e_0 \ldots e_{n-1}}$ that g_α^n maps into $Z_{e_n} \setminus V_{e_n}$. Then $C^j_{e_0 \ldots e_{n-1}e_n}$ consists of two or one half-open intervals, depending on whether $g_\alpha^n(C^j_{e_0 \ldots e_{n-1}e_n}) \ni g_\alpha(V) = n - \beta \sim 0$ or not. But since $g_\alpha^n(C^j_{e_0 \ldots e_{n-1}})$ are pairwise disjoint, only one of the $C^j_{e_0 \ldots e_{n-1}e_n}$ can have two components, and therefore $C_{e_0 \ldots e_{n-1}e_n} := \cup_j C^j_{e_0 \ldots e_{n-1}e_n}$ has at most $n+1$ components. Furthermore $g_\alpha^{n+1}(C_{e_0 \ldots e_{n-1}e_n}) = S$ and the slope is β^{n+1}. (See also [BS, Lemma 4.1] for this result in a simpler context.) □

It follows that π_α is a Lipschitz map such that for each n and each n-cylinder $[e_0, \dots, e_{n-1}]$, the set $\pi_\alpha^{-1}([e_0, \dots, e_{n-1}]) \cap X_\alpha$ is contained in one or two intervals of combined length $m(S)\beta^{1-n}$. This suffices to conclude (cf. [BS, Lemma 5.3]) that X_α and $\pi_\alpha(X_\alpha)$ have the same Hausdorff dimension $\frac{\log d}{\log \beta}$.

Let $G_n : [0, k-\beta) \to S$, $\alpha \mapsto g_\alpha^n(0)$. Then the U from above is a maximal interval on which G_n is continuous, and such that all $\alpha \in U$ have the same coding for the iterates $G_m(\alpha)$, $1 \le m \le n$, with respect to the labelling of the $Z_i = Z_i(\alpha)$. This allows us to define a coding map $\pi : A_\beta \to \Sigma$. As is the case for π_α, given any n-cylinder $[e_0, \dots e_{n-1}]$, the preimage $\pi^{-1}([e_0, \dots e_{k-1}])$ consists of at most n intervals, say U^j, with $G_k(\cup_j U^j) = S$, so the combined length satisfies $\frac{1}{C}\beta^{-n} \le m(\cup U^j) \le C\beta^{-n}$. This gives a one-to-one correspondence between the components $J(\alpha)$ and intervals U, and hence A_β can be covered by a_n such intervals. More importantly, π is Lipschitz, and its inverse on each n-cylinder has (at most n) uniformly Lipschitz branches. It follows that $\dim_H(A_\beta) = \dim_H(\pi(A_\beta))$ (cf. [BS, Lemma 5.3]), and since $\Sigma \setminus \pi(A_\beta)$ is countable, also $\dim_H(A_\beta) = \dim_H(\Sigma) = \frac{\log d}{\log \beta}$. □

Remark 4.1. *Observe that if $g_\alpha^n(0^+) \in \bigcup_{i=0}^{d-1} V_i$, then 0^+ is $n+1$-periodic under g_α. Let a_n be the number of periodic points under the d-fold doubling map of prime period $n+1$. Therefore there are a_n parameter intervals such that matching occurs at $n+1$ iterates, and these have length $\sim \beta^{-n}$. If $d = 1$, then $a_n = \phi(n)$ is Euler's totient function, see Remark 2.1, and for $d = 2$, $k = 4$, so $\beta = 2+\sqrt{2}$, then $(a_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is exactly the sequence A038199 on OEIS* [OEI]*, see the observation in Section 2 for $\beta = 2+\sqrt{2}$, which in fact holds for any other quadratic integer with $d = 2$. In fact, there is a fixed sequence $(a_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ for each value of $d \in \mathbb{N}$.*

4.2. **Case $-d$.** Now we deal with the case $\beta = \beta(k,d) = \frac{k}{2} + \sqrt{(\frac{k}{2})^2 + d}$, which is the leading root of $\beta^2 - k\beta - d = 0$ for positive integers $k > d-1$.

Theorem 4.2. *The bifurcation set A_β has Hausdorff dimension $\dim_H(A_\beta) = \frac{\log d}{\log \beta}$.*

Proof. Since $k < \beta < k+1$, T_α has either $k+1$ or $k+2$ branches depending on whether $\alpha < k+1-\beta$ or $\alpha \ge k+1-\beta$. More precisely,

$$T_\alpha(0^-) - T_\alpha(0^+) = \begin{cases} \beta - k = \frac{d}{\beta} & \text{if } \alpha \in [0, k+1-\beta) \quad (k+1 \text{ branches}); \\ \beta - (k+1) = \frac{d}{\beta} - 1 & \text{if } \alpha \in [k+1-\beta, 1) \quad (k+2 \text{ branches}). \end{cases}$$

In the first case, we have matching in the next iterate due to Lemma 3.1.

Let $\gamma := (k+1) - \beta = 1 - \frac{d}{\beta}$ and note that $1 - \gamma = \frac{d}{\beta} \in \Delta(d)$, and $\beta\gamma = \beta - d$. It follows that if $x \in \Delta(i) \cap [0, 1-\gamma)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} T_\alpha(x+\gamma) &= T_\alpha(x) + \beta - d \bmod 1 \\ &= \begin{cases} T_\alpha(x) + \beta - k = T_\alpha(x) + \frac{d}{\beta} & \text{if } x+\gamma \in \Delta(i+k-d); \\ T_\alpha(x) + \beta - (k+1) = T_\alpha(x) - \gamma & \text{if } x+\gamma \in \Delta(i+k+1-d). \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

In the first case we have matching in the next step, and the second case, the difference γ switches to $-\gamma$. Similarly, if $x \in \Delta(i) \cap [\gamma, 1)$, then

$$T_\alpha(x-\gamma) = T_\alpha(x) - \beta + d \bmod 1 = \begin{cases} T_\alpha(x) + \gamma & \text{if } x-\gamma \in \Delta(i-(k+1)+d); \\ T_\alpha(x) - \frac{d}{\beta} & \text{if } x-\gamma \in \Delta(i-k+d), \end{cases}$$

and in the second case, we have matching in the next iterate. The transition graph for the differences $T^j(0^-) - T^j(0^+)$ is given in Figure 7.

As long as there is no matching, T_α switches the order of $T_\alpha^j(0^-)$ and $T_\alpha^j(0^+)$, and therefore we consider the second iterate with "forbidden regions" as the composition of two degree d-maps with plateaus.

For the first iterate, define for $i = 0, \dots, d-1$ the "forbidden regions"

$$V_i := \{x \in \Delta(i) : x + \gamma \in \Delta(i+k-d)\} = \Big[\frac{i+1-\alpha}{\beta}\ ,\ \frac{i+1+k-d-\alpha}{\beta} - \gamma\ \Big).$$



FIGURE 7. The transition graph for the root of $\beta^2 - k\beta - d = 0$. The vertical arrows stand for the d possible i that $T_\alpha^j(0^-) \in \Delta(i)$ and $T_\alpha^j(0^+) = T_\alpha^j(0^-) \pm \gamma \in [0,1]$.

Note that $T_\alpha(1-\gamma) = \alpha = T_\alpha(0^+)$ and $T_\alpha(\frac{i+1+k-d-\alpha}{\beta} - \gamma) = \gamma$. Define $f_\alpha^1 : [0, 1-\gamma] \to [\gamma, 1]$ as

$$f_\alpha^1(x) = \begin{cases} \gamma & \text{if } x \in V := \bigcup_{i=0}^{d-1} V_i; \\ T_\alpha(x) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

For the second iterate, the "forbidden regions" are

$$W_i := \{x \in \Delta(i) : x - \gamma \in \Delta(i-(k-d))\} = \Big[\frac{i-(k-d)-\alpha}{\beta} + \gamma \ , \ \frac{i+1-\alpha}{\beta}\Big)$$

for $i = k-d+1, \dots, k$. Note that $T_\alpha(\gamma) = \beta + \alpha - (k+1) = T_\alpha(0^-)$ and $T_\alpha(\frac{i-(k-d)-\alpha}{\beta} + \gamma) = 1 - \gamma$. Define $f_\alpha^2 : [\gamma, 1] \to [0, 1-\gamma]$ as

$$f_\alpha^2(x) = \begin{cases} 1-\gamma & \text{if } x \in W := \bigcup_{i=k-d+1}^{k} W_i; \\ T_\alpha(x) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The composition $g_\alpha := f_\alpha^2 \circ f_\alpha^1 : [0, 1-\gamma] \to [0, 1-\gamma]$, once we identify $0 \sim 1-\gamma$, becomes a non-decreasing degree d^2 circle endomorphism with $d + d^2$ plateaus, and slope β^2 elsewhere.

The argument in the previous case gives again that

$$X_\alpha = \{x \in [0, 1-\gamma] : g_\alpha^n(x) \notin \text{ plateaus for all } n \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

has Hausdorff dimension $\frac{\log d^2}{\log \beta^2} = \frac{\log d}{\log \beta}$, and also that $A_\beta = \{\alpha \in [0, k-\beta] \ : \ g_\alpha^n(0^+) \notin \text{ plateaus}\}$ has $\dim_H(A_\beta) = \frac{\log d}{\log \beta}$. □

5. MULTINACCI NUMBERS

The last family of Pisot numbers that we consider are the multinacci numbers. Let β be the Pisot number that satisfies $\beta^k - \beta^{k-1} - \cdots - \beta - 1 = 0$. These numbers increase and tend to 2 as $k \to \infty$. The map T_α has either two or three branches.

Proposition 5.1. *If T_α has two branches, i.e., $\alpha \in \big[0, \frac{1}{\beta^k}\big)$, then there is matching after k steps.*

Proof. The map T_α has two branches if and only if

$$\frac{2-\alpha}{\beta} \geq 1 \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \alpha \leq 2 - \beta = 1 - \frac{1}{\beta} - \frac{1}{\beta^2} - \cdots - \frac{1}{\beta^{k-1}} = \frac{1}{\beta^k}.$$

In case $\alpha \leq \frac{1}{\beta^k}$ we have $T_\alpha(0^-) = \beta + \alpha - 1 = \alpha + \frac{1}{\beta} + \frac{1}{\beta^2} + \cdots + \frac{1}{\beta^{k-1}}$. Since $T_\alpha(0^+) = \alpha$, this means that $T_\alpha(0^+) \in \Delta(0)$ and $T_\alpha(0^-) \in \Delta(1)$. Hence,

$$T_\alpha^2(0^-) = \beta\alpha + 1 + \frac{1}{\beta} + \cdots + \frac{1}{\beta^{k-2}} + \alpha - 1 = T_\alpha^2(0^+) + \frac{1}{\beta} + \cdots + \frac{1}{\beta^{k-2}}.$$



(a) T_α (b) T_α

(c) f^1_α (d) f^2_α

FIGURE 8. The maps T_α, f^1_α and f^2_α for β satisfying $\beta^2-3\beta-2$ and $\alpha = 0.35$.

Continuing in the same way, we get that $T^j_\alpha(0^+) \in \Delta(0)$ and $T^j_\alpha(0^-) \in \Delta(1)$ for all $0 \le j \le k-2$ and that

$$\begin{aligned} T^{j+1}_\alpha(0^-) &= \beta(\beta^{j-1}+\cdots+\beta+1)\alpha + 1 + \frac{1}{\beta} + \cdots + \frac{1}{\beta^{k-(j+1)}} + \alpha - 1 \\ &= T^{j+1}_\alpha(0^+) + \frac{1}{\beta} + \cdots + \frac{1}{\beta^{k-(j+1)}}. \end{aligned}$$

So,

$$T^{k-1}_\alpha(0^-) = \beta(\beta^{k-3}+\cdots+\beta+1)\alpha + 1 + \frac{1}{\beta} + \alpha - 1 = T^{k-1}_\alpha(0^+) + \frac{1}{\beta}.$$

Lemma 3.1 tells us that T_α has matching after k steps. □

For the remainder of this section, we assume that T_α has three branches, so $\alpha > \frac{1}{\beta^k}$.

Lemma 5.1. *For every $j \ge 0$ we have*

$$|T^j_\alpha(0^+) - T^j_\alpha(0^-)| \in \left\{ \frac{e_1}{\beta} + \frac{e_2}{\beta^2} + \cdots + \frac{e_k}{\beta^k} \,:\, e_1, \ldots, e_k \in \{0,1\} \right\}.$$

Proof. The proof goes by induction. Let $D_j = |T^j_\alpha(0^+) - T^j_\alpha(0^-)|$. Clearly $D_0 = 1 = \sum_{n=1}^k \frac{1}{\beta^n}$. Suppose now that $D_j = \sum_{n=1}^k \frac{e_n}{\beta^n}$ for $e_n \in \{0,1\}$. There are three cases:

(1) $T^j_\alpha(0^+)$ and $T^j_\alpha(0^-)$ belong to the same $\Delta(i)$, so $D_j < \frac{1}{\beta}$. This implies that $e_1 = 0$ and thus $D_{j+1} = \beta D_j = \sum_{n=2}^k \frac{e_n}{\beta^{n-1}}$ has the required form.

(2) $T^j_\alpha(0^+)$ and $T^j_\alpha(0^-)$ belong to adjacent $\Delta(i)$'s. There are two cases:
If $e_1 = 0$, then $D_{j+1} = 1 - \beta D_j = \sum_{n=2}^k \frac{1-e_n}{\beta^{n-1}} + \frac{1}{\beta^k}$ has the required form.
If $e_1 = 1$, then $D_{j+1} = \beta D_j - 1 = \sum_{n=2}^k \frac{e_n}{\beta^{n-1}}$ has the required form.

(3) $T^j_\alpha(0^+) \in \Delta(0)$ and $T^j_\alpha(0^-) \in \Delta(2)$ or vice versa. Then $D_j > \frac{1}{\beta}$, and since $\sum_{n=2}^k \frac{1}{\beta^n} = 1 - \frac{1}{\beta} < \frac{1}{\beta}$ we must have $e_1 = 1$. But then $D_{j+1} = 2 - \beta D_j = 1 - \sum_{n=2}^k \frac{e_n}{\beta^{n-1}} = \sum_{n=2}^k \frac{1-e_n}{\beta^n} + \frac{1}{\beta^k}$ has the required form.

This concludes the induction and the proof. □

Remark 5.1. *Note also that in case (i) and (ii) with $e_1 = 1$ in the above proof, $T_\alpha^j(0^+) - T_\alpha^j(0^-)$ and $T_\alpha^{j+1}(0^+) - T_\alpha^{j+1}(0^-)$ have the same sign, whereas in case (ii) with $e_1 = 0$ and case (iii), $T_\alpha^j(0^+) - T_\alpha^j(0^-)$ and $T_\alpha^{j+1}(0^+) - T_\alpha^{j+1}(0^-)$ have the opposite sign. This knowledge will be used in the proof of Theorem 5.1.*

Now assume that β is the tribonacci number, i.e., the Pisot number with minimal polynomial $\beta^3-\beta^2-\beta-1$. Figure 9 illustrates Lemma 5.1 and Remark 5.1. Given α and initial difference $|0^- - 0^+| = 1 = \frac{1}{\beta} + \frac{1}{\beta^2} + \frac{1}{\beta^3}$ (coded as 111), the path through the diagram is uniquely determined by the orbit of 0^+. If 100 is reached, there is matching in the next step.



FIGURE 9. The transition graph for the tribonacci number β (root of $\beta^3 = \beta^2 + \beta + 1$). The small numbers near the arrows indicate the difference in branch between $T_\alpha^n(0^+)$ and $T_\alpha^n(0^-)$ when this arrow is taken.

We will prove that the bifurcation set has $0 < \dim_H(A_\beta) < 1$, but first we indicate another matching interval.

Proposition 5.2. *Let β be the tribonacci number, so $\beta^3 = \beta^2 + \beta + 1$. If $\alpha \in \left[\frac{1}{\beta}, \frac{1}{\beta^2} + \frac{2}{\beta^3}\right]$, then there is matching after four steps.*

Proof. Let $p(\alpha) = \frac{1-\alpha}{\beta-1}$ be the fixed point of the map T_α. Note that $T_\alpha(0^+) = \alpha > p(\alpha)$ if and only if $\alpha > \frac{1}{\beta}$ and that $T_\alpha(0^-) = \beta + \alpha - 2 < p(\alpha)$ if and only if $\alpha < \frac{1}{\beta^2} + \frac{2}{\beta^3}$. So if $\alpha \in \left[\frac{1}{\beta}, \frac{1}{\beta^2} + \frac{2}{\beta^3}\right]$, then the absolutely continuous invariant measure for T_α is not fully supported. One can check by direct computation that $T_\alpha(0^+), T_\alpha(0^-), T_\alpha^2(0^+), T_\alpha^2(0^-) \in \Delta(1)$, which gives the following orbits:

$$\begin{array}{lccccc} 0^+ & \to & \alpha & \to & (\beta+1)\alpha - 1 & \to & \beta^3\alpha - \beta - 1, \\ 0^- & \to & \beta + \alpha - 2 & \to & (\beta+1)\alpha - 1 - \frac{1}{\beta^2} & \to & \beta^3\alpha - \beta - 1 - \frac{1}{\beta}. \end{array}$$

Hence, there is matching after four steps. Also for $\alpha \in \left\{\frac{1}{\beta}, \frac{1}{\beta^2} + \frac{2}{\beta^3}\right\}$ one can easily show that there is matching in four steps, since either 0^+ or 0^- is mapped to the fixed point directly and the other one is mapped to 0 after three steps. □

Theorem 5.1. *If β is the tribonacci number, then the bifurcation set A_β has Hausdorff dimension strictly between 0 and 1.*

Proof. We know from Propositions 5.1 and 5.2 that there is matching if $\alpha \in [0, \frac{1}{\beta^3}]$ and $\alpha \in [\frac{1}{\beta}, \frac{1}{\beta^2} + \frac{2}{\beta^3}]$. Therefore is suffices to consider $\alpha \in A := [\frac{1}{\beta^3}, \frac{1}{\beta}] \cup [\frac{1}{\beta^2} + \frac{2}{\beta^3}, 1]$.

Figure 9 enables us to represent the phase space containing the orbits $\{T_\alpha^n(0^+), T_\alpha^n(0^-)\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ as $\cup_{e\in\mathcal{F}} I_e$, where the *fiber* $\mathcal{F} = \{\pm 001, \pm 010, \pm 011, \pm 101, \pm 110\}$ consists of ten points, and

$$I_e = \begin{cases} [0, 1 - \frac{e_1}{\beta} - \frac{e_2}{\beta^2} - \frac{e_3}{\beta^3}] \times \{e\} & \text{if } e = +e_1e_2e_3, \\ [\frac{e_1}{\beta} + \frac{e_2}{\beta^2} + \frac{e_3}{\beta^3}, 1] \times \{e\} & \text{if } e = -e_1e_2e_3. \end{cases}$$

(Taking these subintervals of $[0,1]$ allows both $T_\alpha^n(0^+)$ and $T_\alpha^n(0^-) = T_\alpha^n(0^+) \pm (\frac{e_1}{\beta} + \frac{e_2}{\beta^2} + \frac{e_3}{\beta^3})$ to belong to $[0,1]$ together.) The dynamics on this space is a skew-product

$$S_\alpha(x, \pm e_1e_2e_3) = \Big(T_\alpha(x), \phi_\alpha(\pm e_1e_2e_3)\Big), \qquad \phi_\alpha :$$

e		0	1	2
± 001	$\mapsto$	± 010	∓ 101	
± 010	$\mapsto$	± 100	∓ 011	
± 011	$\mapsto$	± 110	∓ 001	
± 101	$\mapsto$		± 010	∓ 101
± 110	$\mapsto$		± 100	∓ 011

where the fiber map ϕ_α is given as in Lemma 5.1 and Remark 5.1. However, see Figure 10, there is a set J_α consisting of several intervals where S is not defined because these sets lead to matching in two steps. For $\alpha < 1 - \frac{1}{\beta}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} J_\alpha = &\Big(\Big[\frac{1-\alpha}{\beta} + \frac{1}{\beta^2}, \frac{2-\alpha}{\beta}\Big) \cup \Big[\frac{1}{\beta^2}, \frac{1-\alpha}{\beta}\Big)\Big) \times \{-010\} \\ &\bigcup \Big(\Big[0, \frac{1-\alpha}{\beta} - \frac{1}{\beta^2}\Big) \cup \Big[\frac{1-\alpha}{\beta}, \frac{2-\alpha}{\beta} - \frac{1}{\beta^2}\Big)\Big) \times \{+010\} \\ &\bigcup \Big[\frac{1}{\beta} + \frac{1}{\beta^2}, \frac{2-\alpha}{\beta}\Big) \times \{-110\} \bigcup \Big[0, \frac{1-\alpha}{\beta} - \frac{1}{\beta^2}\Big) \times \{+110\}, \end{aligned}$$

(depicted in Figure 10), and similar values hold for $1 - \frac{1}{\beta} \le \alpha \le 1 - \frac{1}{\beta^2}$ and $1 - \frac{1}{\beta^2} < \alpha$. We can artificially define S also on J_α by making it affine there as well, with slope β. We denote the resulting map by $\hat{S}$.



FIGURE 10. The central part of Figure 9 represented as expanding map on ten intervals. The small bold subintervals in the intervals encoded ± 010 and ± 110 are, for $\alpha < 1 - \frac{1}{\beta}$, the regions leading to matching in two steps.

Claim: For each $\alpha \in A$ the set $\cup_{n \ge 0} S_\alpha^{-n}(J_\alpha)$ is dense in $[0,1]$.

To prove the claim, first observe that the choice of α ensures that T_α has a fully supported invariant density. Therefore, by the Ergodic Theorem, the orbit of Lebesgue-a.e. point is dense in $[0,1]$. In particular, for $p = \frac{1-\alpha}{\beta - 1}$ the fixed point, $\cup_{n \ge 0} T_\alpha^{-n}(p)$ is dense. This implies that $\cup_{n \ge 0} \hat{S}_\alpha^{-n}(\{p\} \times \mathcal{F})$ is dense in $\cup_{e \in \mathcal{F}} I_e$. By inspection of Figure 10 we have that by only using arrows labelled 0 and 1, state ± 010 cannot be avoided for more than four iterates. Hence for every neighbourhood $V \ni (p, e)$ for some $e \in \mathcal{F}$, there is an $n \in \mathbb{N}$

such that $\hat{S}^n(V) \cap J_\alpha \neq \emptyset$. This together proves the claim.

Let $X_\alpha := \{x \in \cup_{e\in\mathcal{F}} I_e : S_\alpha^n(x) \notin J_\alpha \ \forall n \geq 0\}$. It follows from [Rai92, Theorem 1 & 2] (extending results of [MS80] and [Urb87]) that $h_{top}(S_\alpha|X_\alpha)$ and $h_{top}(\hat{S}_\alpha|X_\alpha)$ depend continuously on $\alpha \in A$. In fact, [Rai92, Theorem 3] gives that $\dim_H(X_\alpha)$ depends continuously on α as well, and therefore they reach their infimum and supremum for some $\alpha \in A$.

The upper bound: To show that $\sup_{\alpha\in A} \dim_H(X_\alpha) < 1$, note that, since $\hat{S}_\alpha$ a uniformly expanding with slope β, it is Lebesgue ergodic, with a unique measure of maximal entropy $\mu_{\max}(\alpha)$. This measure is fully supported, and clearly $h_{top}(\hat{S}_\alpha) = \log\beta$. Given that $\mathrm{supp}(\mu_{\max}(\alpha)) \neq X_\alpha$, $h_{top}(\hat{S}_\alpha|X_\alpha) < \log\beta$ and the above mentioned continuity implies that also $\sup_{\alpha\in A} h_{top}(\hat{S}_\alpha|X_\alpha) < \log\beta$. The dimension formula ([Bow79]) implies that

$$\sup_{\alpha\in A} \dim_H(X_\alpha) \leq \sup_{\alpha\in A} \frac{h_{top}(\hat{S}_\alpha|X_\alpha)}{\chi(\hat{S}_\alpha|X_\alpha)} = \sup_{\alpha\in A} \frac{h_{top}(\hat{S}_\alpha|X_\alpha)}{\log\beta} < 1.$$

Here χ denotes the Lyapunov exponent, which has the common value $\log\beta$ for every $\hat{S}_\alpha$-invariant measure, because the slope is constant β.

If $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{V}(\alpha) = \{V_k\}_k$ is a cover of X_α consisting of closed disjoint intervals, then we can shrink each V_k so that ∂V_k consists of preimages $w(\alpha)$ of $\left(\{\frac{1-\alpha}{\beta}, \frac{2-\alpha}{\beta}\} \times \mathcal{F}\right) \cup \bigcup_{e\in\mathcal{F}} \partial I_e \cup \partial J_\alpha$. By Remark 3.2, the intervals V_k move to the left with speed $\approx \frac{1}{\beta-1}$ as α moves in A. At the same time, $S_\alpha(0^+, \{-001\})$ moves with speed 1 to the right. Therefore, to each $V_k = V_k(\alpha) \in \mathcal{V}(\alpha)$ corresponds a parameter interval U_k of length $\leq 2(1 + \frac{1}{\beta-1})|V_k|$ such that $T_\alpha(0^+) \in V_k(\alpha)$ if and only if $\alpha \in U_k$, and the γ-dimensional Hausdorff mass satisfies $\sum_k |U_k|^\gamma \leq 2^\gamma(1 + \frac{1}{\beta-1})^\gamma \sum_k |V_k|^\gamma$. Furthermore, $A_\beta \subset \cup_k U_k$ for each cover $\mathcal{V}$, and therefore $\dim_H(A_\alpha) \leq \sup_{\alpha\in A} \dim_H(X_\alpha) < 1$.

The lower bound: To obtain a positive lower bound we find a Cantor subset $K(\alpha) \subset X_\alpha$ such that $h_{top}(S_\alpha|K(\alpha))$ can be estimated. Let $e \in \mathcal{F}$ and $p_0 = p_0(\alpha) \in I_e$ be periodic under S_α, say of period m. We think of p_0 as a lift of the fixed point p of T_α, but due to the transition between fibers, the period of p_0 is strictly larger than 1. Take α_0 such that $S_{\alpha_0}^{n-1}(\alpha_0, -001) = p_0$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and let $U \ni \alpha_0$ be a neighbourhood such that $g : \alpha \mapsto S_\alpha^{n-1}(\alpha, -001)$ maps U to a fixed neighbourhood of p_0; more precisely, write $U = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2)$ and assume that there is $\eta > 0$ such that $g|U$ is monotone, $g(\alpha_1) = p_0(\alpha_1) - \eta \in I_e$ and $g(\alpha_2) = p_0(\alpha_2) + \eta \in I_e$. Since $\frac{d}{d\alpha} g(\alpha) = \frac{\beta^n - 1}{\beta - 1}$ and $\frac{d}{d\alpha} p_0(\alpha) = \frac{-1}{\beta}$ by Remark 3.2, such $\eta > 0$ and interval U can be found.

Since $\cup_{j\geq 0} S_\alpha^{-j}(p_0(\alpha))$ is dense, we can find $q_0 \in I_e \setminus \{p_0\}$ such that $S_\alpha^k(q_0) = p_0$ for some $k \geq 1$. Moreover, the preimages $q_{-j} = I_e \cap S_\alpha^{-m}(q_{1-j})$, $j \geq 1$, converge exponentially fast to p_0 as $j \to \infty$. Let V_0 be a neighbourhood of q_0 such that S_α^k maps V_0 diffeomorphically onto a neighbourhood $W \ni p_0$; say $W \subset B_\eta(p_0)$. Let $V_{-j} = I_e \cap S_\alpha^{-m}(q_{1-j})$ and find j' so large that $V_{-j'}$ and $V_{-j'-1} \subset W$. Then there is a maximal Cantor set $K' \subset V_{-j'} \cup V_{-j'-1}$ such that $S_\alpha^{k+j'm}(V_{-j'} \cap K') = S_\alpha^{k+(j'+1)m}(V_{-j'-1} \cap K') = K'$. Next let $K = \cup_i S_\alpha^i(K')$. Then $K = K(\alpha)$ is S_α-invariant and since K' is in fact a full horseshoe of two branches with periods $k + j'm$ and $k + (j'+1)m$, $h_{top}(S_\alpha|K) \geq \frac{\log 2}{k+(j'+1)m} > 0$. All these sets vary as α varies in U, but since the slope is constant β, the dimension formula gives $\dim_H(K(\alpha)) \geq \frac{\log 2}{(k+(j'+1)m)\log\beta}$, uniformly in $\alpha \in U$.

The set $K(\alpha)$ moves slowly as α moves in A; as in Remark 3.2, $\frac{d}{d\alpha} y(\alpha)$ is bounded when $y(\alpha) \in K(\alpha)$ is the continuation of $y \in K(\alpha_0)$. At the same time, g is affine on U and has slope $\frac{d}{d\alpha} T_\alpha^{n-1}(\alpha) \equiv \frac{\beta^n - 1}{\beta - 1}$. Therefore, U contains a Cantor set of dimension $\geq \frac{\log 2}{(k+(j'+1)m)\log\beta}$, and this proves the lower bound. □

As the degree of the multinacci number becomes higher, the transition graph becomes more complicated. Figure 11 shows the graph corresponding to the Pisot number with minimal polynomial $\beta^4 - \beta^3 - \beta^2 - \beta - 1$. We conjecture that there is a.s. matching for all multinacci numbers β, but it is not obvious how the technique from Theorem 5.1 can be extended to cover the general case.



FIGURE 11. The transition graph for the Pisot number β that is the root of $\beta^4 = \beta^3 + \beta^2 + \beta + 1$. The small numbers near the arrows indicate the difference in branch between $T_\alpha^n(0)$ and $T_\alpha^n(1)$ when this arrow needs to be taken.

6. Final remarks

We make one final remark about the presence of matching for Pisot and non-Pisot β's. The techniques used in this article are based on the fact that the difference between $T_\alpha^n(0^+)$ and $T_\alpha^n(0^-)$ can only take finitely many values. Note that $|T_\alpha^n(0^+) - T_\alpha^n(0^-)| = \sum_{i=0}^n c_i\beta^i$ for some $c_i \in \{0, \pm 1, \dots, \pm\lceil\beta\rceil\}$, see (4). The Garsia Separation Lemma ([Gar62]) implies that for any Pisot number β, the set

$$Y(\beta) = \Big\{ \sum_{i=0}^{n} c_i\beta^i \,:\, c_i \in \{0, \pm 1, \dots, \pm\lceil\beta\rceil\},\, n = 0, 1, 2, \dots \Big\}$$

is uniformly discrete, i.e., there is an $r > 0$ such that $|x - y| > r$ for each $x \neq y \in Y(\beta)$ (see for example [AK13]). In particular, $Y(\beta) \cap [0, 1]$ is finite. Hence, for any Pisot number β the set of states in the corresponding transition graph will be finite. We suspect that a substantial number of actual paths through this graph will lead to the matching state, which leads us to the following conjecture.

Conjecture 6.1. *If β is a non-quadratic Pisot number, then $0 < \dim_H(A_\beta) < 1$.*

Salem numbers require further techniques to decide on prevalent matching. For non-Salem, non-Pisot algebraic numbers finding any matching seems unlikely.

Acknowledgement: The authors would like to thank Christiane Frougny and the referee, whose remarks spurred us on to sharper results, which led to Theorem 1.1 in its present form. The second author is partially supported by the GNAMPA group of the "Istituto Nazionale di Alta Matematica" (INdAM). The third author is supported by the NWO Veni-grant 639.031.140.

References

[AK13] S. Akiyama and V. Komornik. Discrete spectra and Pisot numbers. *J. Number Theor.*, 133(2):375–390, 2013.

[BCMP] H. Bruin, C. Carminati, S. Marmi, and A. Profeti. Matching in a family of discontinuous interval maps. In preparation.

[Bow79] R. Bowen. Hausdorff dimension of quasi-circles. *Publ. I.H.E.S.*, 50:11–26, 1979.

[BS] H. Bruin and D. Schleicher. Hausdorff dimension of biaccessible angles for quadratic polynomials. *Fund. Math.*, to appear. preprint version on arXiv:1205.2544.

[BSORG13] V. Botella-Soler, J. A. Oteo, J. Ros, and P. Glendinning. Lyapunov exponent and topological entropy plateaus in piecewise linear maps. *J. Phys. A*, 46(12):125101, 26, 2013.

[CT12] C. Carminati and G. Tiozzo. A canonical thickening of $\mathbb{Q}$ and the entropy of α-continued fraction transformations. *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems*, 32(4):1249–1269, 2012.

[CT13] C. Carminati and G. Tiozzo. Tuning and plateaux for the entropy of α-continued fractions. *Nonlinearity*, 26(4):1049–1070, 2013.

[DKS09] K. Dajani, C. Kraaikamp, and W. Steiner. Metrical theory for α-Rosen fractions. *J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS)*, 11(6):1259–1283, 2009.

[FB81] N. Friedman and A. Boyarsky. Matrices and eigenfunctions induced by Markov maps. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 38:141–147, 1981.

[FL96] L. Flatto and J. Lagarias. The lap-counting function for linear mod one transformations. I. Explicit formulas and renormalizability. *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems*, 16(3):451–491, 1996.

[FL97] L. Flatto and J. Lagarias. The lap-counting function for linear mod one transformations. II. The Markov chain for generalized lap numbers. *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems*, 17(1):123–146, 1997.

[FP08] B. Faller and C.-E. Pfister. Computation of topological entropy via ϕ-expansion, an inverse problem for the dynamical systems $\beta x + \alpha \pmod 1$. arXiv:0806.2922v1, 2008.

[FP09] B. Faller and C.-E. Pfister. A point is normal for almost all maps $\beta x + \alpha \pmod 1$ or generalized β-maps. *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems*, 29(5):1529–1547, 2009.

[Gar62] A. Garsia. Arithmetic properties of bernoulli convolutions. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 102:409–432, 1962.

[Hof81] F. Hofbauer. The maximal measure for linear mod one transformations. *J. London Math. Soc. (2)*, 23(1):92–112, 1981.

[KS12] C. Kalle and W. Steiner. Beta-expansions, natural extensions and multiple tilings associated with Pisot units. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 364(5):2281–2318, 2012.

[KSS12] C. Kraaikamp, T. Schmidt, and W. Steiner. Natural extensions and entropy of α-continued fractions. *Nonlinearity*, 25(8):2207–2243, 2012.

[Led81] F. Ledrappier. Some relations between dimension and lyapounov exponents. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 81(2):229–238, 1981.

[LSS16] B. Li, T. Sahlsten, and T. Samuel. Intermediate β-shifts of finite type. *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst.*, 36(1):323–344, 2016.

[LY78] T. Y. Li and J. Yorke. Ergodic transformations from an interval into itself. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 235:183–192, 1978.

[MS80] M. Misiurewicz and W. Szlenk. Entropy of piecewise monotone mappings. *Studia Math.*, 67:45–63, 1980.

[NN08] H. Nakada and R. Natsui. The non-monotonicity of the entropy of α-continued fraction transformations. *Nonlinearity*, 21(6):1207–1225, 2008.

[OEI] The on-line encyclopedia of integer sequences. `https://oeis.org`.

[Rai92] P. Raith. Continuity of the hausdorff dimension for piecewise monotonic maps. *Israel J. Math.*, 80:97–133, 1992.

[Sch97] J. Schmeling. Symbolic dynamics for β-shifts and self-normal numbers. *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems*, 17(3):675–694, 1997.

[Sch11] D. Schnellman. Typical points for one-parameter families of piecewise expanding maps of the interval. *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst.*, 31(3):877–911, 2011.

[Urb87] M. Urbański. Invariant subsets of expanding mappings of the circle. *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems*, 7:627–645, 1987.

[You82] L.-S. Young. Dimension, entropy and lyapunov exponents. *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems*, 2:109–124, 1982.

(Henk Bruin) Faculty of Mathematics, University of Vienna, Oskar Morgensternplatz 1, 1090 Vienna, Austria
E-mail address, Henk Bruin: `henk.bruin@univie.ac.at`

(Carlo Carminati) Dipartimento di Matematica, Università di Pisa, Largo Bruno Pontecorvo 5, I-56127, Italy
E-mail address, Carlo Carminati: `carminat@dm.unipi.it`

(Charlene Kalle) Mathematisch Instituut, Leiden University, Niels Bohrweg 1, 2333CA Leiden, The Netherlands
E-mail address, Charlene Kalle: `kallecccj@math.leidenuniv.nl`